IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES/ED FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr., *

Plaintiff, Pro-Se., * Civil Action No.

-VS- * 2:05-CV-439-A-WO

Sylvester Nettles, et.al., *

Defendants. *

Plaintiff Wright Motion To Object To Order's ON Motion Passed Downed By The Honorable Charles S. Coody Un August 4th 2006.

I Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. Bey, AIS No. 187140, PlaintIFF, Pro-Se. Comes Now, in objection to the 'order on Motion' Passed down by the Honorable Charles S. Coody on August 4th, 2006, (Court Doc. No. 195). The Honorable Charles S. Coody States I Failed to Set Forth any grounds, justifying the Falang of Such motion. Plaantiff has gave this Honorable Court a clear discription OF the reasons For the (said) motion " Plaintiff's motion For A Temporary Restraining order and Protection order Within that motion and now it appears the Honorable Charles S. Coody is per rejecting the motion due to grounds gustifying the Ffling of (Such) motion.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATESVED FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

U.S. DISTRICT GENT MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr., *

Plaintiff, Pro-Sei, * Civil Action No.

-V5- * 2:05-CV-439-A-WO

Sylvester Nettles, et. al., *

Defendants, *

Plaintiff Wright Motion To Object To Order's ON Motion Passed Downed By The Honorable Charles S. Coody On August 4th 2006.

I Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. Bey, AIS
No. 189140, Plaintiff, Pro-Se. Comes Now, in objection to the order on Motion' Passed down by the Honorable Charles S. Coody On August 4th, 2006, (Court Doc. No. 195). The Honorable Charles S. Coody States I Failed to Set Forth any grounds, justifying the Filing of Such motion. Plaintiff has gave this Honorable Court a clear discription of the reasons For the (said) motion is plaintiff's motion for A Temporary Restraining order and Protection order Within that motion and now it appears the Honorable Charles S. Coody is gustifying the Filing of (Such) motion.

It's a wonder upon plaintiff whether their was suppose to send an addetional mo-tion Setting Forth grounds in addition to said motion he Submitted " Plaintiff motion For a Temporary Restragning order And Protectson Order" It appears the Honorable Charles S. Coody, along With the Court Clerk has begun to get very technical and Egnoring / disregarding plaintiff, Functioning as a pro-se, liti-gant with the limited general knowledge of the Courts Street process/pro ceeding and when plaintiff attempt twice in the past to make inquiry these motion For inquiry was are denied leaving planniff quessingthed Know exactly what this Honorable Court meant, which in reality if plaintiff were certain the under-Stood this Honorable Court meaning Their would not have sought (such) inquiries of the past for clarity in the Courts meaning(s). It also appears the Honorable Charles S. Coody and (the) Court Clerk Ms. Debra P. Hackett are taken Steps towards prejudicing plaintiff Out Come Concerning this (Said) Case. This motion also extends to Order on Motion" (Court Doc No. 194) Which was another attemp plagntiff made

to preserve his 19Fe and hadth. I guess the information I Submitted for this Honorable Court an a less professional Form was not Formal enough to be con-Sidered because for good Cause" whatever the Honorable Charles S. Coody Considered the Cause was For Iham to deny said motion "For protection order" was a "cause" Their adjudge good, but I have not the signtest idea what Athati Cause was oris, Therefore I can not property attempt to address or object this unknow cause' For denial of plaintiff motion, maybe the position in which you sit in Iyouz the Honorable W. At Harold Albritton Senior United States District Judge) may Can give me clarity as to what "good Cause" is meant For as stated on (Court Doc, No. 1941) as Well as What the Honorable Charles 5, Coody re-Fered to as Circumstances which Warrant Essuance" of Such an order I Ifsted Circumstances for (safd) mutean (Court Doc. No. 190). I now wonder how these Circumstances are to be worded to warrant Essuance! And how are these words warrant issuance defined / Enterpeted by thes (safd) Court? Plaintiff also ask so simply as he kerwill knew how the Court Clerk within

In957 attach Certifficate of service would shed send plagntiff Copy of the Front page of these motions after they are Clock stamp For Folging Plagntiff legal mast is being mailed at WIII of the designated prison official (?) plaintiff can predict this I based on the dates this I honorable Court informed plaintiff Wright of Their said Filing and receiving plaintiff documents, This mass so believed to be done to enterfer! interrupt plaintiff access to court,
After Plaintiff Wright Sought From deFendants and for defendant's agent reFuse to all UW me to see these agent
refuse to written policy / Administrative Regulations governing procedure's of the Alabama Department of Correction. (A.D.O.C.) law library adequacy here at Ventress Correctional Facility (V.C.F.). Plaintiff Wright has had problems with officer Abercrombile Supervisor Sqt. s. Carter (CO. II) on several occation at which times I were referred to Lt. Dowling (COS, I) Concerning Essue related to the Law library until Capt, Monk (Cos.#) Step in as Supervisor. (See Exhibits "A", "B", "C", D"land Exhibits "A" 95 the index card ofc. Aber crombfe gave me

Concerning available Administrative Requiation's Exhibit "B" is request Sifp, requesting Carbon paper Table of Contents of Endex's For Administrative Regulation (A.R.). Request 519p to Captain Monk, This problem plaintiff has been addressing since last year and they are not yet available as Captain Monk Confirms on Exhibit "C". Here on exhibit "D" capt. monk did not like the way I worded my request so that worded it his way and responded. (1) I reported Lt. Holland refuse to allow me to eat properly, (2) The (Adm. Reg.) Regs. See Exhibit one (1) and Two (2) Exhibit one (I) being inmate David D Whitson # 152350 Complaint and Exhibit Two @ finate . AKran Faced # 24664 two page complaint. Inmates as my seif are being densed these administrative Regulations governing policies these de Fendants only portary verbally as discretionary. I've had this problem since I arrived here at ventress (Vicifi) and ft stfll persist as of thes day August 8, 2006. Done this the 8th day of August, 2006.

Respectfully Submitted,

Richard Wayne Wright Sr. Bey # 181140

Ventress Correctional Facility

Segregation Unit / Cell # 801

Post Office Box # 767

Clayton, Alabama 36016

Certificate of Service

This is to Certify that I Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. Bey, Pro-Se. am the petitioner for the above encaptioned motion and Certify I have sent a Copy of this motion to the Clerk of this Court and earnestly ask due to plaintiff indigent status that this Honorable Court and for Clerk Forward a Clock Stamp Copy Front page, to plaintiff and a Copy of this motion to defendant's Counsels) Which addresses are as Following:

Gregory F. Yayhma (ASB-2411-H67G) William A. Scott, Jr. (ASB-1539-073W) Scott, Sullivan, Streetman & Fox, P.C. 2450 Valley Dale Road Birmingham, Alabama 35244

Troy King (Attorney General)
State Bar # ASB-5949-5615
Steven Mallette Sirmon
(Assistant Attorney General)
Hugh Dav9s (Attorney)
Alabama Board Pardon and Parole
Post OFFice Box 302405
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

David B, Block (ASB-5048-K62D)

Page 7 of 8

William R. Lunsford (ASB-4265-L72L)
Douglas B. Hargett (ASB-9928-581H)
Balch & Birgham LLP
Post office Box 18668
Huntsville Alabama 35804-8668
K
Kim T. Thomas
Gregory Marion Biggs
Alabama Department of Corrections
Legal Division
"Bul Ripley Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

by placing this motion in the hands of the on duty officer to placed Ahish in the legal mail box For postage to be paid by the prison officials) here to be place in the united States mail box at Ventress Correction Facility after / with proper postage is supplied and properly address this on the day of ,2006.

Respectfully Submitted,

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. Bey #187140

Ventress Correctional Facility

Segregation Unit/Cell #801

Post Office Box 767

Clayton, Alabama 36016